### the interaction between ligatures hyphenation and kerning

Hans Hagen

BachoT<sub>E</sub>X 2015



effe effe effe

#### Discretionary

\discretionary{pre}{post}{replace}

explicit:  $\-$ 

automatic: -

regular: patterns

first: internal second: internal

Hyphenated ligature

# ef-fe e[f-][f][ff]e e[f-][f][ff]e

**Substitution** 

effe effe effe Hyphenation substitution

## ef-fe e[f-][f][ff]e e[f-][f][f][f]e

Hyphenation substitution (2)

## ef-fe e[f-][-f][ff]e e[f-][-f][ff]e

Hyphenation substitution (3)

## ef-fe e[f-][-f][ff]e e[f-][-f][ff]e

Hyphenation substitution (4)

ef-fi-cient
e[f-][fi][ffi-]c
e[f-][fi][ffi-]c



#### **Relevance of typesetting**

glyphs (shapes) are a way to communicate writing and reading are complementary both were instrumental to progress and both are under pressure we need to distinguish between art and useability typography is a tool, not an aim

#### **Relevance of ligatures**

save space (less medium needed) kind of arbitrary applied / provided less relevant apart from look alien for most readers not always better readable

better spend energy elsewhere

SHOULD WE REALLY CARE ABOUT SUCH FEATURES IN A TIME WHEN KIDS NO LONGER NEED TO WRITE AND DON'T SEEM TO CARE AT ALL ADOUT HOW THINGS LOOK.